WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Un	ited S	tates of America v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
Р	edro \	/ega-Castillo	Case Number:	13-0062M		
		e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 are established: (Check one or bo	42(f), a detention hearing has been th, as applicable.)	submitted to the Court. I conclude		
-	or and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant g trial in this case.					
	reponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant ng trial in this case.					
		PART	I FINDINGS OF FACT			
(1) 18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed)						
		a crime of violence as defined	in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
		an offense for which the maxir	num sentence is life imprisonment o	r death.		
		an offense for which a maximu	ım term of imprisonment of ten years	s or more is prescribed in		
		a felony that was committed at described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142	fter the defendant had been convicte (f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	d of two or more prior federal offenses local offenses.		
		any felony that involves a mind device (as those terms are def to register under 18 U.S.C. §2.	fined in section 921), or any other da	ion or use of a firearm or destructive ngerous weapon, or involves a failure		
(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.					
(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.					
(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of condition will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant not rebutted this presumption.					
		A	Alternative Findings			
(1) 18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable ca			le cause to believe that the defenda	nt has committed an offense		
		for which a maximum term of i	mprisonment of ten years or more is	prescribed in1		
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956	(a), or 2332b.			
	_					

under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is

The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

an offense involving a minor victim under section

prescribed.

(2)

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

Altern		

rearance of the defendant as required. r combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community. Dus risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or ospective witness or juror).				
ous risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or				
ART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.) redible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincin				
danger that:				
eponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
is not a citizen of the United States.				
, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
ein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs blacing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.				
has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably ssure his/her future appearance.				
has a prior criminal history.				
The defendant lives and works in Mexico.				
is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has illy ties to Mexico.				
ord of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
atte				

³The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:13-mj-00062-MHB Document 4 Filed 02/12/13 Page 3 of 3

×	In addition:
	The defendant submitted the issue of detention.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 11th day of February, 2013.

Michelle H. Burns
United States Magistrate Judge